



## Instructor with Comments Report

2016-12-01 - 2016-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Lahiri,Shibamouli

EECS 498 031

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*					
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	University Wide			School/College		
								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	15	5	1	0	0	0	4.80	3.75	4.19	4.64	3.80	4.19	4.57
891 As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier).	8	9	4	0	0	0	4.22	2.83	3.13	3.42			
1631 This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.	14	7	0	0	0	0	4.75	4.10	4.41	4.75			
1632 My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.	13	8	0	0	0	0	4.69	3.75	4.19	4.63			
1633 I knew what was expected of me in this course. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever).	15	4	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.00	4.33	4.67			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for class meetings. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	13	6	0	0	0	2	4.77	4.50	4.80	4.92			
199 The instructor explained material clearly. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	13	4	1	1	0	2	4.77	4.25	4.67	4.86			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	15	4	0	0	0	2	4.87	4.69	4.86	4.95			
770 The instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours.	13	3	1	0	0	3	4.85	4.29	4.60	4.75			
772 The instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter.	13	5	1	0	0	2	4.77	4.33	4.63	4.83			
773 The instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension.	14	5	0	0	0	2	4.82	4.38	4.64	4.81			
774 The instructor explained the material clearly and understandably.	13	3	1	2	0	2	4.77	4.25	4.59	4.79			
775 The instructor had no English language problem.	14	3	2	0	0	2	4.82	4.50	4.75	4.88			
776 Overall, the instructor was effective.	13	3	2	1	0	2	4.77	4.33	4.65	4.81			

### Written Comments

912 Please comment on the effectiveness of this instructor.

Student 1

NA

Student 2

rada and shibu 4ever

Student 3

Shibu is helpful, but frequently got off topic.

Student 4

Did not attend discussion

Student 5

NA

Student 6

NA



## Instructor with Comments Report

2016-12-01 - 2016-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

**Instructor: Lahiri,Shibamouli**

**EECS 498 031**

Student 7

NA

Student 8

*Shibamouli is super enthusiastic about this subject and that makes it all the more fun. I also really enjoy how he gives the 'hard truths' about the research, and sincere advice. That makes it more exciting too. I also plan to do research in ai so hearing it is very helpful. He and Rada make a great team.*

Student 9

NA

Student 10

NA

Student 11

*GSI is really nice!*

Student 12

NA

Student 13

NA

Student 14

NA

Student 15

NA

Student 16

NA

Student 17

NA

Student 18

*Shibu knew the material however he tended to digress at times. He seems extremely enthusiastic about the subject and that is fairly infectious.*

Student 19

*When I could hear him, he spoke clearly and it was easy to understand. However, Shibamouli seemed a bit muffled and it was hard to hear sometimes. Better voice projecting could help.*

Student 20

*Amazing*

Student 21

NA

Student 22



## **Instructor with Comments Report**

2016-12-01 - 2016-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

**Instructor: Lahiri,Shibamouli**

**EECS 498 031**

*Excellent.*

\* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2016 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on upper division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in College of Engineering.

\*\* SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.